ITEM NO.32+46

## COURT NO.3

SECTION XV

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 3042-3044/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09/12/2016 in DBCWP No. 1511/2016 09/12/2016 in DBCWP No. 1645/2016 09/12/2016 in DBCWP No. 2795/2016 passed by the High Court Of Rajasthan At Jaipur)

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CAPTAIN GURVINDER SINGH & ORS ETC. ETC. Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for exemption from filing O.T. and permission to place addl. documents on record and interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C)...CC No. 2950/2017 (With appln(s) for permission to file SLP and office report)

Date : 03/02/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner	(s) Mr.	Mukul Rohatgi, AG
	Mr.	Tushar Mehta, ASG
	Mr.	Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG
	Mr.	Saurabh S, Shamsheri, AAG
	Mr.	Saransh Kumar, Adv.
	Mr.	Arjun Singh, Adv.
	Mr.	Rohit K. Singh,Adv.
	Mr	Ramesh Singh, Adv.
	•	Ramesh Stright, Hav.
		Nishit Agarwal, Adv.
	Mr.	<b>-</b> ·
	Mr.	Nishit Agarwal, Adv.
For Respondent	Mr. Mr.	Nishit Agarwal, Adv.
For Respondent	Mr. Mr. (s) Mr.	Nishit Agarwal, Adv. Ankit Anandraj Shah, Adv.
For Respondent	Mr. Mr. (s) Mr. Mr.	Nishit Agarwal, Adv. Ankit Anandraj Shah, Adv. V.Giri, Sr. Adv.

Ms. V. Nagpal, Adv.
Mr. Garuav Kejriwal, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Umesh Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arjun Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Aniket Bhattacharya, Adv.
Mr. Karan Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Dhawan, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv.
Mr. Adarsh Kumar Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. T. Gopal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

Permission to file special leave petition is granted.

Leave granted.

An enactment of the State of Rajasthan providing for reservations in favour of certain backward classes has been struck down by the High Court by the Judgment under appeal.

We are informed by learned Attorney General that pursuant to the impugned enactment, the benefits of the reservation in the matter of admission to educational institutions and employment under the State are already given by making certain appointments.

We deem it appropriate to direct the appellant(s) to maintain status quo obtaining as on today.

The admissions so made to the educational institutions and appointments so made by the State shall not be disturbed pending final hearing of the matter. We also make it clear that no fresh admissions and appointment shall be made pursuant to this interim order.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI) AR-cum-PS (RAJINDER KAUR) Court Master

2